UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION)) MDL No. 1456)
	CIVIL ACTION: 01-CV-12257-PBS
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO	Judge Patti B. Saris
County of Suffolk v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., E.D.N.Y. Case No. CV-03-229	Chief Magistrate Judge Marianne B. Bowler Output Description:

RESPONSE OF LIAISON COUNSEL TO COUNTY OF SUFFOLK'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY REGARDING MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY FROM THE SCHERING PLOUGH DEFENDANTS

Plaintiffs' liaison counsel, Hagens Berman LLP, files this response to Suffolk County's motion. This response is necessitated by Suffolk's assertion in its reply that its motion to compel the production of documents is necessitated by the conduct of liaison counsel.

In May 2004, Suffolk filed a "Motion Respecting Coordinated Discovery." In its reply in support of that motion, Suffolk asserted that it was the task of liaison counsel to set up a depository at liaison counsel's expense (in the tens of millions of dollars in this case). As Hagens Berman explained in its surreply, liaison counsel has kept Suffolk abreast of productions being made by defendants and that is the only obligation liaison counsel has.¹ As we explained in our response, Suffolk is then free to choose the mode and manner in which it chooses to

¹ Rather than repeat the prior briefing in this response, liaison counsel attaches as Exhibits 1 and 2 the prior brief and declaration explaining how Suffolk can have access, at its own expense, and pursue its own discovery, in the MDL process. Liaison counsel has kept Suffolk informed as to available discovery. It is perhaps telling that no other governmental entity has complained about the conduct of liaison counsel.

Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 1276 Filed 01/04/05 Page 2 of 5

pursue documents. However, the appointment of liaison counsel did not create a duty to either

fund discovery for Suffolk or agree with it on approaches to discovery.

The Class case against Schering is on a different schedule than Suffolk's case. Almost

nine months ago, liaison counsel explored various methods of access to Schering's documents

and selected a method that is in our view sensible and cost-effective. This decision was made

after spending hundreds of hours of reviewing Schering's hard-copy documents so that we had a

feel for the best approach. Suffolk has, to the best of our knowledge, not bothered to review yet

any of Schering's documents, but instead blames liaison counsel because we chose to proceed in

a cost-effective and sensible way. Indeed the Schering production and the availability of its

documents was made known to Suffolk yet for all this time Suffolk showed no interest in these

materials.

The bottom line is that Suffolk has been aware of the existence of responsive documents.

That it chooses another method of production is not the fault of liaison counsel and therefore

Suffolk's proposition that the motion is necessitated by our conduct is without merit.

DATED: January 4, 2005

By /s/ Steve W. Berman

Thomas M. Sobol (BBO#471770) Edward Notargiacomo (BBO#567636)

Hagens Berman LLP

One Main Street, 4th Floor

Cambridge, MA 02142

Telephone: (617) 482-3700

Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

LIAISON COUNSEL

- 2 -

Steve W. Berman Sean R. Matt Hagens Berman LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

Elizabeth Hartweg Fegan Hagens Berman LLP 60 W. Randolph Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60601 Telephone: (312) 762-9235 Facsimile: (312) 762-9286

Eugene A. Spector Jeffrey Kodroff Spector, Roseman & Kodroff, P.C. 1818 Market Street, Suite 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 496-0300 Facsimile: (215) 496-6611

Samuel D. Heins Alan I. Gilbert Brian L. Williams Susan E. MacMenamin Heins, Mills & Olson, P.C. 3550 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 338-4605 Facsimile: (612) 338-4692

CHAIRS OF LEAD COUNSEL COMMITTEE

Marc H. Edelson Allan Hoffman Hoffman & Edelson 45 West Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Telephone: (215) 230-8043 Facsimile: (215) 230-8735 Kenneth A. Wexler Jennifer F. Connolly The Wexler Firm One North LaSalle Street, Suite 2000 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: (312) 346-2222

Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

MEMBERS OF LEAD COUNSEL COMMITTEE AND EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I, Steve W. Berman, an attorney, caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing, RESPONSE OF LIAISON COUNSEL TO COUNTY OF SUFFOLK'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY REGARDING MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY FROM THE SCHERING PLOUGH DEFENDANTS to be delivered to all counsel of record by electronic service pursuant to Paragraph 11 of the Case Management Order No. 2, by sending on January 4, 2004, a copy to Verilaw Technologies for Posting and notification to all parties

By /s/ Steve W. Berman
Steve W. Berman
HAGENS BERMAN LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 623-7292